



www.cea.fr

# COUPLING EXECUTABLE UML MODELS WITH FMI

MODPROD 2017 Linköping, Sweden, February 7-8, 2017



Sahar GUERMAZI, <u>Sébastien REVOL</u>, Arnaud CUCCURU, Saadia DHOUIB, Jérémie TATIBOUET, Sébastien GERARD CEA LIST / DILS / LISE



# **FMI FOR PAPYRUS / PAPYRUS FOR FMI**

Synergy of two complementary standards for Complex system modeling and simulation

### • FMI (Functional Mockup Interface)

- Emerging standard for co-simulation
- Enables multiple compliant modeling and simulation tools to interoperate
- Particularly interesting for designing CPS (Cyber Physical Systems)

### • UML in the FMI eco-system

- UML (and its variants) can be used to design parts of CPS
  - E.g., the high-level control logic of an embedded software
- Would be nice to have the possibility to assess the relevance of the UML-based parts with respect to their (simulated) environment
  - Scenario exploration, early error detections.

### • Papyrus now provides FMI tool support

Based on Moka, the Papyrus module for model execution





# Papyrus is the official open-source Eclipse UML2 modeling tool: www.eclipse.org/papyrus



- Papyrus provides a complete graphical editor for both UML and SysML standards based on the MDT::UML2 component for its repository.
- Papyrus addresses the two key features expected from a UML2 graphical editor: modeling and profiling.
- Papyrus is highly customizable and extensible enabling DSML definitions based on standard UML profiles!
  - Papyrus provides a support to MARTE 1.1 (including a rich text editor for VSL).



## MOKA: OVERVIEW

### Papyrus module for model execution

- Help designers to understand/orient their design choices
- Basis for a straightforward, simulation-driven design process:
  - (Model / Execute / Observe / Refine)+
- Front-end for integration of simulation tools and techniques
- Model Debugging capabilities
  - Control (start/stop, suspend/resume, breakpoints)
  - Observation (diagram animation, variables, threads)
- Complies with standard OMG semantics of UML
  - Implements the fUML execution model (State Machine extension coming)
  - Tool support for Alf, the standard textual notation of fUML
- Flexible/extendible
  - New execution engines can be plugged (to support multiple semantics and UML profiles)
  - Extension points to inject control/execution model libraries (to trigger the execution of external functions and procedures directly from a UML model)





Cea





DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRI

# Cea

### **FUML IN A NUTSHELL**





## **KEY SEMANTIC QUESTION FOR FMI SUPPORT**



What is the meaning of an FMI co-simulation step in fUML?



### Allows to export each executable model as a standalone unit (FMU)

- An FMU has to implement a standard binary interface as a shared library (dll/.so)
  - · Set Inputs
  - · Get outputs
  - · Do Step (stepSize)



The simulation Master synchronizes and orchestrates the FMUs





•

### • **Proposal one : an FMU is a finished activity**

- Replayed at each simulation step
- Drawback : can't preserve internal states between simulation steps
- → Need to be able to suspend the behavior between two co-simulation steps!







## FUML EXTENSIONS FOR FMI

### • In fUML : only one kind of wait

- On signal event
- Should be sent by another active object

### Our proposal : add to fUML two new ways to suspend/resume behaviors

- Wait for delays (Time Event)
- Wait for FMU input changes (Change Event)

### • Time is managed by a central Discrete Event scheduler

With its own event pool

### • An FMI to UML interface generates events at each simulation steps

- A StepEnd event @(currentTime + stepSize)
- Change events for any new FMU input value







list





Valve = false





















list



# **DE Scheduler** Current time = 0.1 Current event = NONE **Scheduler Events** Time stamp Time Event 0.5

Valve = false

















list







# DE Scheduler Current time = 0.4 Current event = NONE Scheduler Events Time Event 0.5

Scheduler Events	Time stamp
Time Event	0.5

Valve = false

# list





















![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

FMI Master

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

# li/t

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

self

2

result

result

target

threshold

callCompare

result

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

setY

object

value

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

result

2

target

threshold

callCompare

result

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

setY

value

⇒

result

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

self

result

result

2

target

threshold

callCompare

result

### **DE Scheduler**

Current time = 0.0

### Current event = NONE

Scheduler Events	Time stamp

setY

⇒

result

object

value

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

list

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

list

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

self

2

result

result

target

threshold

callCompare

result

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

setY

⇒

result

object

value

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

2

result

target

threshold

callCompare

result

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

⇒

result

value

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

# list

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

self

2

result

result

target

threshold

callCompare

result

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

setY

⇒

result

object

value

# li/t

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

2

result

callCompare

threshold

result

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

⇒

result

# list

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

self

2

result

result

target

threshold

Я

callCompare

result

# **DE Scheduler** Current time = 0.5 Current event = Time event **Scheduler Events** Time stamp Step end 0.5

$$X = 4$$
  
Y = false

setY

value

⇒

result

# list

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

X = 4 Y = **True** 

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

# doStep (0.1)

result

threshold

![](_page_43_Figure_4.jpeg)

⇒

result

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

self

2

result

result

target

threshold

callCompare

result

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

setY

⇒

result

object

value

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

FMI Master

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

X = 4Y = True

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

X = 4 Y = True

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$X = 4$$
  
 $Y = True$ 

# list

## **RESULTING SIMULATION TRACE**

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

Values observed by the master

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

• FMI is not fully satisfying for Discrete Event simulations

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

- With a 0,4 step size, an event that occurs at T=0,5 will only be visible by other FMUs at T=0,8
  - Even worse : an other opposite event can occur at T=0,6 ...

### Should we reject the step and ask for a smaller one?

- Requires rollback support
- Potentially : 0-time simulation steps : other FMUs would be stuck

![](_page_51_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

### • Try to group all the Discrete Event (UML) control in a single FMU

- Inside the FMU, during a simulation step, rely on well defined DE execution model
- Implies to generate FMU from a fUML hierarchical model

### • Need for FMI standard API evolutions

• On-going works :

J. P. Tavella *et al.*, "Toward an accurate and fast hybrid multi-simulation with the FMI-CS standard," *2016 IEEE 21st International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*, Berlin, 2016, pp. 1-5.

- Specific Discrete FMUs implementing a new hybridDoStep
- Presented to FMI standard consortium

![](_page_52_Picture_9.jpeg)

# THANK YOU

Acknowledgments to the LISE team for their direct and indirect contributions to this presentation.

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

# GETTING STARTED WITH MOKA: <u>HTTPS://WIKI.ECLIPSE.ORG/PAPYRUS/</u> <u>USERGUIDE/MODELEXECUTION</u>

# VIDEO TUTORIALS : <u>HTTPS://WWW.YOUTUBE.COM/CHANN</u> <u>EL/UCXYPOBLZC\_RKLS7\_K2DTWYA</u>

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Textual surface notation for the fUML subset

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)