FMI for Industrial Programmable Logic Controllers Rüdiger Kampfmann 07.02.2017



Bosch Group

08/02/2017 | DC/ENE22 | © Bosch Rexroth AG 2017. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.

- Motivation
- Toolchain
- Application
- Limitations



- Motivation
- Toolchain
- Application
- Limitations



Challenges

- Increasing complexity
- Higher requirements on flexibility
- Shorter development times





Solution:

Model-based methods e.g.:

- Model-based diagnosis
- Feedforward control using inverse models
- Virtual sensors
- Model predictive control





Benefits

- Reduction of development time
- Significant additional value for customers through new control and diagnosis capabilities
- Improvement in quality





So far...

- Existing toolchains for
 - OpenModelica
 - Matlab/Simulink

OpenModelica



- But many customers from various industry sectors with a couple of different tools
- Support all tools?
- Unreasonable
 Open standard for code
 generation needed

DSH^{plus}

SIMPACK, a Dassault Systèmes company

S DYMOLA

SIM



Sources: www.openmodelica.org de.mathworks.com www.fluidon.com www.simpack.com www.maplesoft.com www.dynasim.se



Solution

Functional Mock-up Interface:

- Tool independent standard to support Model-Exchange and Co-Simulation
- Also has been foreseen for utilization in embedded systems





Source: www.fmi-standard.org

- Motivation
- Toolchain
- Application
- Limitations



PLC

- Programmable Logic Controller
- Real time Operating System VxWorks 6.3/6.9
- Different processors ARM, X86, X64 ...
- Usually programmed in Languages according to IEC61131/3



 With OpenCore Interface also C/C++ possible



Functional Mock-up Unit



sources

- Description of all variables and parameter used
- also information of source code to be compiled can be provided with FMI2.0

Not useable since different OS

Have to be compiled directly



Automatic Toolchain based on FMI



- Automated through python scripts
- Both Model-Exchange and Co-Simulation and FMI 1.0 & 2.0 supported
- Own explicit and linear implicit solvers for Model-Exchange implemented
- Intuitive integration into existing engineering tool

Rex

Bosch Group

Test procedure using the example of Dymola





- Motivation
- Toolchain
- Application
- Limitations



Applications

Delta Robot

Parallel kinematic

- Mainly used for Pick and Place Applications
- Many kinematic chains resulting in nonlinear loops
- Mechanic model can be utilized for feedforward control



Source: www.autonox24.de



Applications

Delta Robot



- Model exported from Dymola FMI2.0 Model Exchange
- Used cycletime 1 ms
- Indracontrol XM22 equipped with Intel Atom CPU 1.6 GHz
- Required computational time ca. 0.37 ms



- Motivation
- Toolchain
- Application
- Limitations



Limitations

Standard of interface is quite good for code generation but tool vendors should face some problems:

- Code quality of generated code
- For some tools manual adaptions required
- Availability of real time capable solvers for Co-simulation
- Only for FMI 2.0 full tool independent automation possible
- No code certification according to functional safety



FMI for Industrial Programmable Logic Controllers Rüdiger Kampfmann 07.02.2017

19

08/02/2017 | DC/ENE22 | © Bosch Rexroth AG 2017. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.

Rexroth

Bosch Group